

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Bo. 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	. ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/718,419	11/20/2003	Kimberly T. Durham	END920030129US1	9653	
23550	7590 11/14/2005		EXAM	INER	
HOFFMAN WARNICK & D'ALESSANDRO, LLC			RUTZ, JA	RUTZ, JARED IAN	
75 STATE ST 14TH FL	REET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ALBANY, NY 12207			2187		
			DATE MAILED: 11/14/200	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/718,419	DURHAM ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Jared I. Rutz	2187			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	I. sely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
<ol> <li>Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11/20/2003.</li> <li>This action is FINAL. 2b)  This action is non-final.</li> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.</li> </ol>					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-33 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-33 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 20 December 2004 is/at Applicant may not request that any objection to the c Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	re: a) $\square$ accepted or b) $\square$ objector drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1112005.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

Art Unit: 2187

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1-33 as originally filed on 11/20/2003 are pending in the instant application. Of these there are 5 independent claims and 27 dependent claims.

#### Information Disclosure Statement

2. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 11/20/2003 is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the information disclosure statement is being considered by the examiner.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 4. Claims 7, 17, 14, 25, and 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. These claims each recite the limitation "wherein the requests are routed to the selected storage cells in identical communication protocols in which the requests are received by the storage grid manager". Although paragraph 0026 states that the request will typically be routed from storage grid manager 24 using the same protocol in which it was received by storage grid manager, there is no explanation

Art Unit: 2187

of how this is done. Paragraph 0023 shows that requests can be sent using multiple formats, but there is no mention of these formats being converted before they are sent to the storage grid manager into a format that can be used to communicate with the storage grid controllers, and there is no indication that the storage grid controllers can receive requests in multiple formats.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

5. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

6. Claims 27-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. Program claims must be directed to a computer readable medium. A program product on a recordable medium includes lines of code written on a sheet of paper, which is non-statutory. For the purpose of providing a compact prosecution, claims 27-33 will be treated as if limited to statutory subject matter for the instant office action.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section

Art Unit: 2187

351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

8. Claims 1, 2, 6, 8, 9, 13, 15, 16, 18, 27, 28, and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Leung et al (US 2003/0046270).

- 9. Claim 1 is taught by Leung as:
  - a. A computerized system for managing an enterprise storage system, comprising a storage grid manager for receiving requests for storing files from users. See data management server (DMS) item 104 of figure 1. Paragraph 0078 discuss conditions in which the DMS decides where to store data, and lines 18-21 show that one such condition is when a user stores a data file in the storage system.
  - b. And for routing the requests to storage grid controllers associated with selected storage cells of the enterprise storage system where the files will be stored. Paragraph 0029 lines 1-4 shows that the DMS automates the storing of data to the available storage devices. Each of the storage devices (item 116 of figure 1) comprise a storage cell and the associated controller necessary for their function.
  - c. Wherein the selected storage cells are identified based on at least one predetermined performance parameter. Paragraphs 0049-0055 give examples of device performance parameters that are used by the DMS to determine the storage location.
- 10. Claim 2 is taught by Leung as:

Art Unit: 2187

d. The system of claim 1, wherein the storage grid controllers are each associated with a single storage cell. The storage devices are shown to be various dedicated storage devices in paragraph 0031.

e. And wherein the storage grid controllers provide resource availability information about the storage cells to the storage grid manager. Paragraph 0050 lines 11-14 shows that the DMS dynamically monitors the available capacity information by examining the actual usage of the storage device.

# 11. Claim 6 is taught by Leung as:

f. The system of claim 1, wherein the predetermined performance parameter is selected from the group consisting of a user identity, a storage cost, a user requirement, a usage pattern, a security requirement, a storage cell availability, a redundancy requirement and a network optimization requirement. Paragraphs 0050-0055 and 0059-0064 give examples of the parameters the DMS may use to decide file storage locations. These examples include user identity, storage cost, user requirements, usage patterns, storage availability, redundancy and network optimization.

## 12. Claim 8 is taught by Leung as:

g. A computerized system for managing an enterprise storage system, comprising: a storage grid manager for receiving requests for storing files from users. See data management server (DMS) item 104 of figure 1. Paragraph 0078 discuss conditions in which the DMS decides where to store data, and lines

Art Unit: 2187

18-21 show that one such condition is when a user stores a data file in the storage system.

- h. And a set of storage grid controllers associated with a set of storage cells
  of the enterprise storage system. Each of the storage devices (item 116 of figure
  1) comprise a storage cell and the associated controller necessary for their function.
- i. Wherein the storage grid manager identifies selected storage cells for storing the files based on at least one predetermined performance parameter. Paragraphs 0049-0055 give examples of device performance parameters that are used by the DMS to determine the storage location.
- j. And routes the requests to the storage grid controllers associated with the selected storage cells. Paragraph 0029 lines 1-4 shows that the DMS automates the storing of data to the available storage devices.

#### 13. Claim 9 is taught by Leung as:

- k. The system of claim 8, wherein each of the set of the storage grid controllers are each associated with a single storage cell. The storage devices are shown to be various dedicated storage devices in paragraph 0031.
- I. And wherein the set of storage grid controllers provide resource availability information about the set of storage cells to the storage grid manager. Paragraph 0050 lines 11-14 shows that the DMS dynamically monitors the available capacity information by examining the actual usage of the storage device.

Art Unit: 2187

# 14. Claim 13 is taught by Leung as:

m. The system of claim 9, wherein the predetermined performance parameter is selected from the group consisting of a user identity, a storage cost, a user requirement, a desired cell usage pattern, a security requirement, a storage cell availability, a redundancy requirement and a network optimization requirement. Paragraphs 0050-0055 and 0059-0064 give examples of the parameters the DMS may use to decide file storage locations. These examples include user identity, storage cost, user requirements, usage patterns, storage availability, redundancy and network optimization.

# 15. Claim 15 is taught by Leung as:

- n. A computer-implemented method for storing files in an enterprise storage system, comprising: receiving requests on a storage grid manager to store the files. See data management server (DMS) item 104 of figure 1.
- o. Identifying storage cells of the enterprise storage system for storing the files based on at least one performance parameter. Paragraphs 0049-0055 give examples of device performance parameters that are used by the DMS to determine the storage location.
- p. Routing the requests from the storage grid manager to storage grid controllers associated with the storage cells. Paragraph 0029 lines 1-4 shows that the DMS automates the storing of data to the available storage devices.
- q. And storing the files in the storage cells. Paragraph 0029 lines 1-4 shows that the DMS automates the storing of data to the available storage devices.

Art Unit: 2187

# 16. Claim 16 is taught by Leung as:

r. The method of claim 15, wherein the at least one performance parameter is selected from the group consisting of a user identity, a storage cost, a user requirement, a desired cell usage pattern, a security requirement, a storage cell availability, a redundancy requirement and a network optimization requirement. Paragraphs 0050-0055 and 0059-0064 give examples of the parameters the DMS may use to decide file storage locations. These examples include user identity, storage cost, user requirements, usage patterns, storage availability, redundancy and network optimization.

# 17. Claim 18 is taught by Leung as:

s. The method of claim 15, further comprising the storage grid controllers providing resource availability information about the set of storage cells to the storage grid manager, prior to the identifying step. Paragraph 0050 lines 11-14 shows that the DMS dynamically monitors the available capacity information by examining the actual usage of the storage device.

## 18. Claim 27 is taught by Leung as:

- t. A program product stored on a recordable medium for managing an enterprise storage system, which when executed, comprises a storage grid manager for receiving requests for storing files from users. See data management server (DMS) item 104 of figure 1.
- u. And for routing the requests to storage grid controllers associated with selected storage cells of the enterprise storage system where the files will be

Art Unit: 2187

stored. Paragraph 0029 lines 1-4 shows that the DMS automates the storing of data to the available storage devices. Each of the storage devices (item 116 of figure 1) comprise a storage cell and the associated controller necessary for their function.

v. Wherein the selected storage cells are identified based on at least one predetermined performance parameter. Paragraphs 0049-0055 give examples of device performance parameters that are used by the DMS to determine the storage location.

#### 19. Claim 28 is taught by Leung as:

- w. The program product of claim 27, wherein the storage grid controllers are each associated with a single storage cell. The storage devices are shown to be various dedicated storage devices in paragraph 0031.
- x. And wherein the storage grid controllers provide resource availability information about the storage cells to the storage grid manager. Paragraph 0050 lines 11-14 shows that the DMS dynamically monitors the available capacity information by examining the actual usage of the storage device.

#### 20. Claim 32 is taught by Leung as:

y. The program product of claim 27, wherein the predetermined performance parameter is selected from the group consisting of a user identity, a storage cost, a user requirement, a desired cell usage pattern, a security requirement, a storage cell availability, a redundancy requirement and a network optimization requirement. Paragraphs 0050-0055 and 0059-0064 give examples of the

Art Unit: 2187

parameters the DMS may use to decide file storage locations. These examples include user identity, storage cost, user requirements, usage patterns, storage availability, redundancy and network optimization.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 21. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 22. Claims 4-5, 11-12, 20-21, 22-24, and 30-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Leung et al (cited supra) in view of Microsoft Windows NT Server Administrator's Bible: Option Pack Edition (found at http://www.windowsitlibrary.com/content/405/25/1.html).
- 23. Claim 4 is taught by Leung as shown supra with respect to claim 1.
- 24. Leung does not explicitly teach the use of a mapping between files and their storage location to allow the retrieval of the stored files.
- 25. The Microsoft Windows NT Server Administrator's Bible: Option Pack teaches:
  - z. Wherein the storage grid manager further maintains a mapping that associates the files with the selected storage cells in which the files are stored. The 9<sup>th</sup> paragraph of page 2 shows that clients connect the server containing a Dfs tree, which stores a file system directory that associates stored files with their storage location.

Art Unit: 2187

26. Leung and the Microsoft Windows NT Server Administrator's Bible: Option Pack are analogous art because they are from the same field of endeavor, the design of distributed storage systems.

- 27. At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to store a tree representing the locations of stored files on the server that users contact to store files.
- 28. The motivation for doing so would have been to allow users to retrieve their stored files, even if they had been moved by the DMS.
- 29. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine The Microsoft Windows NT Server Administrator's Bible: Option Pack with Leung for the benefit of allowing users to retrieve their stored files to obtain the invention as specified in **claims 4-5**.
- 30. Claim 5 is taught by the Microsoft Windows NT Server Administrator's Bible:
  Option Pack as:
  - aa. The system of claim 4, wherein the storage grid manager further receives requests to retrieve the files from the users, and wherein the storage grid manager consults the mapping to identify the selected storage cells and retrieve the files. The 9<sup>th</sup> paragraph of page 2 shows that clients connect the server containing a Dfs tree, which stores a file system directory that associates stored files with their storage location
- 31. Claim 11 is taught by Leung as shown supra with respect to claim 8.

Art Unit: 2187

32. Leung does not explicitly teach the use of a mapping between files and their storage location to allow the retrieval of the stored files.

- 33. The Microsoft Windows NT Server Administrator's Bible: Option Pack teaches:
  - bb. Wherein the storage grid manager further maintains a mapping that associates the files with the selected storage cells in which the files are stored. The 9<sup>th</sup> paragraph of page 2 shows that clients connect the server containing a Dfs tree, which stores a file system directory that associates stored files with their storage location.
- 34. Leung and the Microsoft Windows NT Server Administrator's Bible: Option Pack are analogous art because they are from the same field of endeavor, the design of distributed storage systems.
- 35. At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to store a tree representing the locations of stored files on the server that users contact to store files.
- 36. The motivation for doing so would have been to allow users to retrieve their stored files, even if they had been moved by the DMS.
- 37. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine The Microsoft Windows NT Server Administrator's Bible: Option Pack with Leung for the benefit of allowing users to retrieve their stored files to obtain the invention as specified in **claims 11-12**.
- 38. Claim 12 is taught by the Microsoft Windows NT Server Administrator's Bible:
  Option Pack as:

Art Unit: 2187

cc. The system of claim 11, wherein the storage grid manager further receives requests to retrieve the files from the users, and wherein the storage grid manager consults the mapping to identify the selected storage cells and retrieve the files. The 9<sup>th</sup> paragraph of page 2 shows that clients connect the server containing a Dfs tree, which stores a file system directory that associates stored files with their storage location.

- 39. Claim 20 is taught by Leung as shown supra with respect to claim 15.
- 40. Leung does not explicitly teach the use of a mapping between files and their storage location to allow the retrieval of the stored files.
- 41. The Microsoft Windows NT Server Administrator's Bible: Option Pack teaches:
  - dd. Further comprising the storage grid manager maintaining a mapping that associates the files with the storage cells in which the files are stored, after the storing step. The 9<sup>th</sup> paragraph of page 2 shows that clients connect the server containing a Dfs tree, which stores a file system directory that associates stored files with their storage location. The mapping must be maintained after the storing step to allow the users to locate their files after they are stored.
- 42. Leung and the Microsoft Windows NT Server Administrator's Bible: Option Pack are analogous art because they are from the same field of endeavor, the design of distributed storage systems.

Art Unit: 2187

43. At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to store a tree representing the locations of stored files on the server that users contact to store files.

- 44. The motivation for doing so would have been to allow users to retrieve their stored files, even if they had been moved by the DMS.
- 45. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine The Microsoft Windows NT Server Administrator's Bible: Option Pack with Leung for the benefit of allowing users to retrieve their stored files to obtain the invention as specified in **claims 20-21**.
- 46. Claim 21 is taught by the Microsoft Windows NT Server Administrator's Bible:
  Option Pack as:
  - ee. The method of claim 20, further comprising retrieving the files from the appropriate storage cells with the following steps: receiving requests on the storage grid manager to retrieve the files; consulting the mapping to identify the storage cells; and retrieving the files from the storage cells. The 9<sup>th</sup> paragraph of page 2 shows that clients connect the server containing a Dfs tree, which stores a file system directory that associates stored files with their storage location
- 47. Claim 22 is taught by Leung as shown supra with respect to claim 1. The same DMS that routes the files to the storage locations would also retrieve the files in a similar manner.

Art Unit: 2187

48. Leung does not explicitly teach the use of a mapping between files and their storage location to allow the retrieval of the stored files.

- 49. The Microsoft Windows NT Server Administrator's Bible: Option Pack teaches:
  - ff. Receiving requests on a storage grid manager to retrieve the files; identifying storage cells of the enterprise storage system in which the files are stored based a mapping; The 9<sup>th</sup> paragraph of page 2 shows that clients connect the server containing a Dfs tree, which stores a file system directory that associates stored files with their storage location.
- 50. Leung and the Microsoft Windows NT Server Administrator's Bible: Option Pack are analogous art because they are from the same field of endeavor, the design of distributed storage systems.
- 51. At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to store a tree representing the locations of stored files on the server that users contact to store files.
- 52. The motivation for doing so would have been to allow users to retrieve their stored files, even if they had been moved by the DMS.
- 53. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine The Microsoft Windows NT Server Administrator's Bible: Option Pack with Leung for the benefit of allowing users to retrieve their stored files to obtain the invention as specified in claims 30-31.
- 54. Claim 23 is taught by the Leung as:

Art Unit: 2187

gg. The method of claim 22, further comprising storing the files, prior to the receiving step, with the following steps: receiving requests on the storage grid manager to store the files. See data management server (DMS) item 104 of figure 1. Paragraph 0078 discuss conditions in which the DMS decides where to store data, and lines 18-21 show that one such condition is when a user stores a data file in the storage system.

- hh. Identifying storage cells of the enterprise storage system for storing the files based on at least one performance parameter. Paragraphs 0049-0055 give examples of device performance parameters that are used by the DMS to determine the storage location.
- ii. Routing the requests to store the files from the storage grid manager to storage grid controllers associated with the storage cells, and storing the files in the storage cells. Paragraph 0029 lines 1-4 shows that the DMS automates the storing of data to the available storage devices.

# 55. Claim 24 is taught by Leung as:

jj. The method of claim 23, wherein the at least one performance parameter is selected from the group consisting of a user identity, a storage cost, a user requirement, a desired cell usage pattern, a security requirement, a storage cell availability, a redundancy requirement and a network optimization requirement. Paragraphs 0050-0055 and 0059-0064 give examples of the parameters the DMS may use to decide file storage locations. These examples include user

Art Unit: 2187

identity, storage cost, user requirements, usage patterns, storage availability, redundancy and network optimization.

- 56. Claim 30 is taught by Leung as shown supra with respect to claim 8.
- 57. Leung does not explicitly teach the use of a mapping between files and their storage location to allow the retrieval of the stored files.
- 58. The Microsoft Windows NT Server Administrator's Bible: Option Pack teaches:
  - kk. Wherein the storage grid manager further maintains a mapping that associates the files with the selected storage cells in which the files are stored. The 9<sup>th</sup> paragraph of page 2 shows that clients connect the server containing a Dfs tree, which stores a file system directory that associates stored files with their storage location.
- 59. Leung and the Microsoft Windows NT Server Administrator's Bible: Option Pack are analogous art because they are from the same field of endeavor, the design of distributed storage systems.
- 60. At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to store a tree representing the locations of stored files on the server that users contact to store files.
- 61. The motivation for doing so would have been to allow users to retrieve their stored files, even if they had been moved by the DMS.
- 62. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine The Microsoft Windows NT Server Administrator's Bible: Option Pack with

Art Unit: 2187

Leung for the benefit of allowing users to retrieve their stored files to obtain the invention as specified in **claims 30-31**.

- 63. Claim 31 is taught by the Microsoft Windows NT Server Administrator's Bible:
  Option Pack as:
  - II. The program product of claim 30, wherein the storage grid manager further receives requests to retrieve the files from the users, and wherein the storage grid manager consults the mapping to identify the selected storage cells and retrieve the files. The 9<sup>th</sup> paragraph of page 2 shows that clients connect the server containing a Dfs tree, which stores a file system directory that associates stored files with their storage location.
- 64. Claims 3, 10, 19, and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Leung in view of Armitano et al (US 2004/0230795).
- 65. Claim 3 is taught by Leung as shown above with respect to claims 1 and 2.
- 66. Leung does not teach the storage devices further enforcing access control specifications for the storage cells.
- 67. Armitano teaches the use of a policy engine with a storage device to allow it to process access control policies for a storage device (see paragraph 0007). Paragraphs 0024-0027 give examples of the type of access control policies enforced by the policy engine.

Application/Control Number: 10/718,419

Art Unit: 2187

68. Leung and Armitano are analogous art as they are from the same field of endeavor, the design of data storage devices.

69. At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to use a storage device including a policy engine as taught by Armitano as the storage devices in the storage system of Leung.

Page 19

- 70. The motivation for doing so would have been lessening the load on the storage device's CPU by allowing policies to be enforced by a separate device (Armitano paragraph 0017 lines 1-5)
- 71. Therefore it would have been obvious to combine Armitano with Leung to obtain the invention as specified in **claim 3**.
- 72. Claim 10 is taught by Leung as shown above with respect to claims 8 and 9.
- 73. Leung does not teach the storage devices further enforcing access control specifications for the storage cells.
- 74. Armitano teaches the use of a policy engine with a storage device to allow it to process access control policies for a storage device (see paragraph 0007). Paragraphs 0024-0027 give examples of the type of access control policies enforced by the policy engine.
- 75. Leung and Armitano are analogous art as they are from the same field of endeavor, the design of data storage devices.

Art Unit: 2187

76. At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to use a storage device including a policy engine as taught by Armitano as the storage devices in the storage system of Leung.

- 77. The motivation for doing so would have been lessening the load on the storage device's CPU by allowing policies to be enforced by a separate device (Armitano paragraph 0017 lines 1-5)
- 78. Therefore it would have been obvious to combine Armitano with Leung to obtain the invention as specified in **claim 10**.
- 79. Claim 19 is taught by Leung as shown above with respect to claim 15.
- 80. Leung does not teach the storage devices further enforcing access control specifications for the storage cells.
- 81. Armitano teaches the use of a policy engine with a storage device to allow it to process access control policies for a storage device (see paragraph 0007). Paragraphs 0024-0027 give examples of the type of access control policies enforced by the policy engine.
- 82. The policy engine enforces access control before the file is stored in the storage device (see figure 2, discussed in paragraph 0035).
- 83. Leung and Armitano are analogous art as they are from the same field of endeavor, the design of data storage devices.

Application/Control Number: 10/718,419

Art Unit: 2187

84. At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to use a storage device including a policy engine as taught by Armitano as the storage devices in the storage system of Leung.

Page 21

85. The motivation for doing so would have been lessening the load on the storage device's CPU by allowing policies to be enforced by a separate device (Armitano paragraph 0017 lines 1-5)

Therefore it would have been obvious to combine Armitano with Leung to obtain the invention as specified in **claim 19**.

- 86. Claim 29 is taught by Leung as shown above with respect to claims 27 and 28.
- 87. Leung does not teach the storage devices further enforcing access control specifications for the storage cells.
- 88. Armitano teaches the use of a policy engine with a storage device to allow it to process access control policies for a storage device (see paragraph 0007). Paragraphs 0024-0027 give examples of the type of access control policies enforced by the policy engine.
- 89. Leung and Armitano are analogous art as they are from the same field of endeavor, the design of data storage devices.
- 90. At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to use a storage device including a policy engine as taught by Armitano as the storage devices in the storage system of Leung.

Application/Control Number: 10/718,419

Art Unit: 2187

91. The motivation for doing so would have been lessening the load on the storage device's CPU by allowing policies to be enforced by a separate device (Armitano paragraph 0017 lines 1-5)

Page 22

Therefore it would have been obvious to combine Armitano with Leung to obtain the invention as specified in **claim 29**.

- 92. Claim 26 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Leung et al (cited supra) in view of Microsoft Windows NT Server Administrator's Bible: Option Pack Edition (cited supra) and further in view of Armitano (cited supra).
- 93. Claim 26 is taught by Leung in view of the Microsoft Windows NT Server

  Administrator's Bible: Option Pack Edition as shown supra with respect to claim 22.
- 24. Leung in view of the Microsoft Windows NT Server Administrator's Bible: Option Pack Edition does not teach the storage devices further enforcing access control specifications for the storage cells.
- 95. Armitano teaches the use of a policy engine with a storage device to allow it to process access control policies for a storage device (see paragraph 0007). Paragraphs 0024-0027 give examples of the type of access control policies enforced by the policy engine.
- 96. Leung in view of the Microsoft Windows NT Server Administrator's Bible: Option Pack Edition and Armitano are analogous art as they are from the same field of endeavor, the design of data storage devices.

Art Unit: 2187

97. At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to use a storage device including a policy engine as taught by Armitano as the storage devices in the storage system of Leung in view of the Microsoft Windows NT Server Administrator's Bible: Option Pack Edition.

- 98. The motivation for doing so would have been lessening the load on the storage device's CPU by allowing policies to be enforced by a separate device (Armitano paragraph 0017 lines 1-5)
- 99. Therefore it would have been obvious to combine Armitano with Leung in view of the Microsoft Windows NT Server Administrator's Bible: Option Pack Edition to obtain the invention as specified in **claim 26**.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jared I. Rutz whose telephone number is (571) 272-5535. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00 AM - 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Donald Sparks can be reached on (571) 272-4201. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2187

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jared I Rutz Examiner Art Unit 2187

jir JIQ

CHRISTIAN CHACE PRIMARY EXAMINER